



FOUO-5637/RSS-3

8 NOV 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, DCI SECURITY COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: SCI Denials Working Group - Final Report on Appeal Procedures

1. Since August 1978, the SCI Denials Working Group (SCIDWG) has held several meetings based on tasking from the Chairman, Security Committee, to draft due process/appeal procedures in the SCI clearability process.

2. From the outset, there was considerable disagreement over the need for formal appeal procedures. The DoD members felt that DoD security policy already observed due process, while other member agencies did not. In this context, the question of whether access to SCI is a privilege was often raised.

3. In September 1978, the DoD members drafted a proposal for a change to DCID 1/14 which would incorporate the following:

"Each Senior Intelligence Officer shall establish formal procedures ensuring that individuals denied access to SCI are notified of the decision and are afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond."

Although the Chairman, SECOM, advised that a more detailed procedure was needed, DoD members of the SCIDWG still feel that insertion of this statement would protect the rights of the individual while sustaining the equity of each agency or department.

4. In October 1978, a more comprehensive and detailed procedure was drafted by the undersigned, based on input from DIA and the Military Departments. NSA participated in all meetings, but made it clear that it does not agree in the basic concept of an appeal procedure for SCI inasmuch as there are already well established and frequently used avenues of relief for individuals who have been denied access.

5. At a plenary session of the Working Group, on 25 October 1978, the expanded DoD draft (as Annex B to DCID 1/14) was submitted and objections were raised on such grounds as: legal necessity; requirement for notification of denial; concern over confidentiality of sources; and a perceived administrative burden. The strongest objection was registered when OGC, CIA, suggested that the DCI act as final authority in the appeal process. Obviously, the membership is convinced that each SIO is fully capable of administering due process within his department or agency.

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

ANNEX B TO DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE DIRECTIVE 1/14

APPEALS PROCEDURES

1. Purpose. To establish uniform procedures for those individuals who have been denied access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) and who desire to appeal determinations of ineligibility.

2. Policy. It is the policy of the Director of Central Intelligence that any individual who has been denied access to SCI based on the Personnel Security Standards set forth in paragraph 5, DCID 1/14, shall be afforded an opportunity to appeal such denial. This policy shall not be construed to require any agency or department to reveal more information than would be released under the Privacy Act of 1974.

3. Applicability. Provisions of this Annex shall apply to uniformed members of the Military Departments or civilian employees, consultants or contractors of all Federal agencies.

4. SCI Access Determination Authority. Adjudications for access to SCI shall be made by a Determination Authority designated by the Senior Intelligence Officer (SIO) of each Department or Agency. Findings of ineligibility shall be based on:

a. Failure to meet minimum investigative requirements set forth in paragraph 11, DCID 1/14.

b. Adjudicative conclusion that standards set forth in paragraph 5, DCID 1/14, have not been satisfied.

5. Requirements. Each SIO will establish procedures to ensure that:

a. Any individual who has been found ineligible for access to SCI shall be notified that he/she does not meet the Personnel Security Standards set forth in paragraph 5, DCID 1/14, if any inquiry is made.

b. Each individual deemed ineligible for SCI access shall, upon inquiry, be advised of procedures whereby he/she can ascertain the basis for the denial of access. In all cases, the agency or department will protect the right to privacy and the confidentiality of sources.

c. Each individual will be afforded a reasonable opportunity to offer mitigating, explanatory or refuting information in his/her behalf. Such information will be provided to the Determination Authority.

6. Review Authority. The SIO or his deisgnee will personally review any case wherein the individual has submitted additional information which is specific and relevant to a determination of ineligibility. In such cases, the decision of the SIO or his designee shall be final.